

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Offic

S: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	Б	F. ATTORNEY, DOCKET NO.
09/083,298	U5/22/98	CRETCHION	•	

QM32/0630

RAYTHEON COMPANY BLDG C01 MS A126 P O BOX 80028 LOS ANGELES CA 90080-0028 TETNH M EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

06/30/00

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/083,298	CREIGHTON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Minh Trinh	3729				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Status 	rys, a reply within the statutory in the	minimum of thirty (30) days will ire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2u/2 11115 dealers to 1 1115 -						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are with	drawn from consideration	•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been:						
1.☐ received.						
2. received in Application No. (Series Code / Serial Number)						
3.☐ received in this National Stage applica						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li						
14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for do						
Attachment(s)						
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.	19) 🔲 Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) r:				

Application/Control Number: 09/083,298

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed in Paper No. 7 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scholz (US 5,093,987) or Pope et al (US 5,093,987) in view of Barz et al (US5,099,396). Scholz discloses a conductor assembly having at least one elongate conductor 22 adapted to engage a first electrical contact 94 on the first substrate on one end and a second electrical contact 94 on the second end thereof, the conductor being adapted to provide a spring force and for retaining the conductor in abutting with at least one of the contacts (figs1-10, abstract, lines 1-22, col. 9, lines 60-col 10 lines 34) or Pope et al disclose the same, a conductor assembly having at least one elongate conductor 12 adapted to engage a first electrical contact 13 on the first substrate on one end and a second electrical contact 13 on the second end thereof, the conductor being adapted to provide a spring force and for retaining the conductor in abutting with at least one of the contacts (figs1-2, col 8, lines 32-col 11, lines 44, col 18, lines 52- col 21 line 20). Scholz or Pope et al meet most of the claimed invention limitations but fails to teach the three bend hook shape at each end to provide a spring force. Barz discloses

Application/Control Number: 09/083,298

Art Unit: 3729

the above three bend hook shape at each end to provide a spring force (fig 3, item 33, col. 2, lines 24-54, col. 4, lines 4-15). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a number of contact springs or three bend hook shape at each end to provide a spring force as taught by Barz on the conductor assembly of either Scholz or Pope et al in order to provide a connection which is simple and inexpensive by using the available techniques. it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a number of contact springs or three bend hook shape at each end to provide a spring interconnection, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments is acknowledged.
- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4-10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/083,298

Art Unit: 3729

1. . .

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Trinh whose telephone number is (703) 305-2887. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 7:00 am to 5:30 pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee Young can be reached on (703) 308 2572. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7307 for regular communications and (703) 305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

mt

June 27, 2000

JESSICA J. HARRISON PRIMARY EXAMINER